

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APP CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/495,729	02/01/2000	Scott R. Rossow	M297.12-148	5222
75	90 01/14/2003			
Joseph R Kelly Westman Champlin & Kelly PA Suite 1600 International Centre			EXAMINER	
			TO, TOAN C	
900 Second Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
		DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/495,729 Applicant(s)

Scott Rossow et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit 3616

5°.	Toan To	3616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence addres	s \	
Period for Reply		-		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). mailing date of this communication.	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS se considered timely.		
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apph Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mails the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.)	S.C. § 133).	cation.	
Status	2000			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 1, 2</u>			· ·	
24,	ction is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	e except for formal matters, prose parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	ecution as to the O.G. 213.	merits is	
Disposition of Claims	!-/	o pondina ia tha	application	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/a	re withdrawn fro	m consideration.	
5) Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s)		is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)			to.	
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-33</u>	are subject to restri	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.	
Application Papers				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) \square accepted or b) \square object	ed to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		b)□ disapprove	ed by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	ly to this Office action.			
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	1)-(d) or (t).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h		Al-		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h			<u> </u>	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu	r documents have been received i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n this ivational S	lage	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provision				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	er No(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-945) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s)				
Of The transfer of proposition of the property	-			

Application/Control Number: 09/495,729 Page 2

Art Unit:3616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

The species are as follows:

Species 1: Figures 1, 2, 3A, 4A

Species 2: Figure 5, 3B, 4B, 6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

2. Species 2 further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct sub-species

of the claimed invention:

The sub-species are as follows:

Sub-species I: figure 3B

Sub-species II: figure 6

If Species 2 is elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single

disclosed sub-species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no

generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species and sub-species (if species 2 is elected) that is elected consonant with this requirement,

Application/Control Number: 09/495,729

Art Unit:3616

and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Joseph Kelly on January 10, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Application/Control Number: 09/495,729

Art Unit:3616

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan To whose telephone number is (703) 306-5951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson, can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-2571.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1113.

TT

January 11, 2003

PAUL N. DICKSON

Palalh 1/13/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600